

УДК 579.864.1:615.331

**M.Ya. Spivak, V.S. Pidgorsky, L.M. Lazarenko,
L.M. Shynkarenko, L.T. Rachkova, Z.M. Olevinska**

Zabolotny Institute of Microbiology and Virology of NASU, 154,
Academ. Zabolotny str., Kyiv MSP, ДД03680,
Ukraine, tel.: 8 (044) 526 94 25, e-mail: spivak@serv.imv.kiev.ua

LACTOBACILLUS AND BIFIDOBACTERIUM INFLUENCE ON THE INDICES OF IMMUNE RESPONSE OF THE ORGANISM SHOWED ON THE EXPERIMENTAL MODEL

It was studied the influence of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium on interferonogenesis and junctional activity of murine phagocytosis system cells in vivo. It was established that Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium, Bifidobacterium longum, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus casei and Lactobacillus bulgaricus in vivo had activated the endogenous interferon production proved to be true by essential increase of interferon concentration in murine blood plasma. Lactobacillus acidophilus and Bifidobacterium longum have appeared the most effective inducing agent of both "early" and "late" interferon. These preparation also extended spontaneous and ridostin-induced production of interferon by spleen cells. The probiotic strains of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium introduction was accompanied by stimulation of oxygen-depending bactericide and absorbing activity of peritoneal macrophages. Received data testify the obtained composition on the basis of Bifidobacterium longum and Lactobacillus acidophilus is the most perspective for immune correction.

Key words: lactobacteria, bifidobacterium, probiotic, interferon, immunity

During the last years humane infectious inflammatory and oncology diseases accompanied by formation of secondary immunodeficiency conditions tend to grow. A perspective direction of purposeful immune correction is used of probiotics and/or prebiotics created on the basis of indigenious normal flora of a gastroenteric path, in particular *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* [9, 1, 4, 10, 5]. It is known that probiotic influence on development of cellular and humoral immune response changing variety of production of immune regulating cytokines, first of all Th1-type — interferon- γ and interleukin-2 [1, 8, 3, 2, 5]. Therefore search of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* with immune modulating properties for creation new highly effective probiotics preparations is an actual problem. In connection with the aforesaid it has been laid down for the

© M.Ya. Spivak, V.S. Pidgorsky, L.M. Lazarenko, L.M. Shynkarenko, L.T. Rachkova, Z.M. Olevinska, 2009



aim to define immune modulating properties of *Laktobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* by investigation of their influence on endogenous interferon production as well as a functional activity of phagocytosis system cells on the murine experimental model.

Materials and methods

There were used freeze-dried *Laktobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* of different strains: *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium* and *Bifidobacterium longum* as well as *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus casei* and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* (Collection of Zablotny Institute of Microbiology and Virology of NAS, Ukraine). Preparations were separately injected *per os* to mice of line BaLb/c with body mass of about 18-20 g for 4 days once a day. As comparison it was used the official probiotic Lacidofil (Institute Rosell, Canada) *per os* for 4 days once a day and standard interferon inducer ridostin (Vector-Pharm, Russia) was introduced intraperitoneally. The dose of preparations for one mouse was made of: *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium* – 100 µg, *Bifidobacterium longum* – 50 µg, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* – 50 µg, *Lactobacillus casei* – 50 µg, *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* – 100 µg, ridostin – 25 µg, lacidofil – 50 µg. Dynamic of interferon production was investigated in blood serum in 6 hours, 1, 3, 6 and 12 days. On the 1st, 3rd, 6th and 12th days the following spontaneous and induced production of interferon by spleen cells was studied. Activity of interferon is defined by microtitration in culture of sensitive cells. Reference preparations of both interferon-α (the international standard B 69/19) and interferon-γ (branch standard) were used. The sample dilution protected 50 % cells from cytopathic action of 100 CTA₅₀/0,1 ml of a test virus (vesicular stomatitis virus, vaccinal strain H) [7] was accepted as interferon titer.

For the 1st, 3rd, 6th and 12th days oxygen-depending bactericide and absorbing activity of peritoneal macrophages was studied using routine methods [7]. While studying the absorbing activity of phagocytes it was calculated the phagocytosis index (PI) – percent of cells in sight of the microscope contained latex particles and phagocytic number (PN) – mean quantity of latex particles which were absorbed by each phagocyte (conventional units (CN)). Oxygen-depending bactericide activity of macrophages was studied in spontaneous reduction test as well as in reduction test with pyrogenal stimulation using nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT-test) cytochemical assay. The percent of cells (among 100) containing dark blue granules of diformazan was calculated in sight of the microscope. The functional reserve (FR, %) defined as a difference between data for spontaneous and stimulated NBT test.

All received data were calculated using the computer program Epi Info (version 6.0) by the variation statistics method supplemented with Student's criterion. In order to estimate the individual indices their mean arithmetic value was taken ± mean error ($M \pm m$).

Results and their discussion

Our results have shown that introduction of *Laktobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* to mice led to stimulation of endogenous interferonogenesis (tab. 1). However the level and dynamics of interferon synthesis essentially differed depending on strain of *Lactobacteria* as well as *Bifidobacterium*. Among *Lactobacteria* the greatest interferonogenesis activity had *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, and among *Bifidobacterium* – *Bifidobacterium longum*. Essential accumulation of interferon in blood serum under the influence of these preparations was observed in 6 hours: an interferon titer increased



Table 1.

Spontaneous production of interferon by spleen cells following the *Laktobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* introduction

Mice groups	Titer of interferon, log ₂ Unit/ml/ period of observation			
	1st day	3rd day	6th day	12th day
Intact (Control)	5,00 ± 0,01	6,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01
Obtaining of <i>B. longum</i>	7,00 ± 0,01*	7,00 ± 0,01	6,00 ± 0,02	4,00 ± 0,01
Obtaining of <i>B. Bifidobacterium</i>	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	3,00 ± 0,01	3,00 ± 0,02
Obtaining of <i>L. acidophilus</i>	7,00 ± 0,04*	7,00 ± 0,01	6,00 ± 0,02	4,00 ± 0,02
Obtaining of <i>L. casei</i>	6,00 ± 0,01	6,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01
Obtaining of <i>L. bulgaricus</i>	4,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,07
Obtaining of <i>lacidofil</i>	5,00 ± 0,01	6,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	3,00 ± 0,01
Obtaining of <i>ridostin</i>	4,00 ± 0,09	6,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,07	4,00 ± 0,11

Note: * $P < 0,05$ compared with control values.

from $5,30 \pm 0,90 \log_2$ U/ml in the control accordingly to $7,00 \pm 0,01$ ($P < 0,05$) and $7,00 \pm 0,04 \log_2$ ($P < 0,05$) U/ml. High level of blood serum interferon remained on 1st (accordingly $8,00 \pm 0,01$ and $7,00 \pm 0,01 \log_2$ U/ml), 3rd (accordingly $9,00 \pm 0,05$ and $9,30 \pm 0,20 \log_2$ U/ml) and 6th (accordingly $9,00 \pm 0,01$ and $8,00 \pm 0,02 \log_2$ U/ml) day. Concentration of interferon in blood serum of mice which received *Lactobacillus acidophilus* has appeared increased for 12th day ($7,00 \pm 0,02 \log_2$ U/ml) too. On the same time following a *Bifidobacterium longum* introduction an interferon titer on the 12th day decreased to control level ($4,09 \pm 0,06 \log_2$ U/ml; $P > 0,05$). Under the influence of *Lactobacillus casei* and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* concentration of serum interferon did not change comparing with control in 6 hours (accordingly $5,00 \pm 0,09$ and $5,00 \pm 0,01 \log_2$ U/ml) and on 1st day (accordingly $5,30 \pm 0,07$ and $4,30 \pm 0,01 \log_2$ U/ml), however on 3rd day (accordingly $6,30 \pm 0,01$ and $7,30 \pm 0,05 \log_2$ U/ml) and 6th (accordingly $6,00 \pm 0,01$ and $7,00 \pm 0,04 \log_2$ U/ml) the interferon level was seen decreased. In 12 days the interferon level in blood serum of mice received *Lactobacillus casei* or *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*, decreased to control values (accordingly $4,30 \pm 0,06$ and $4,00 \pm 0,03 \log_2$ U/ml). Following a *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium* introduction blood serum interferon titers did not change in 6 hours and on 1st day (accordingly $6,00 \pm 0,01$ and $4,30 \pm 0,10 \log_2$ U/ml), but increased on 3rd day to $7,30 \pm 0,01 \log_2$ U/ml ($P < 0,05$). On 6th and 12th days the interferon level in blood serum of these mice was seen decreased to control level (accordingly $4,00 \pm 0,04$ and $4,30 \pm 0,06 \log_2$ U/ml). It is necessary to notice that under the influence of *ridostin* – the standard inducer of “late” interferon, in 6 hours and on 1st day concentration of blood serum interferon equaled accordingly $5,00 \pm 0,01$ and $5,60 \pm 0,01 \log_2$ U/ml. Accumulation of its cytokine in blood serum was seen only on 3rd and 6th days: the titers were seen increased to $8,30 \pm 0,40$ and $7,30 \pm 0,50 \log_2$ U/ml accordingly. For 12 days they did not exceed a control value ($4,00 \pm 1,00 \log_2$ U/ml; $P > 0,05$).

It was established that following the introduction of *Bifidobacterium longum* or *Lactobacillus acidophilus* at 1st day ability of splenocytes to produce the interferon spontaneously intensify *in vitro* whereas *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium*, *Lactobacillus casei*, *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*, *Lactobacillus lacidophilus* and ridostin did not influence essentially on spontaneous production of interferon by splenocytes *in vitro* (tab. 1).

In reply to the adequate induction the interferon- γ production by spleen cells *in vitro* did not change after introduction of all preparations and interferon- α production was seen increased on 3rd day under the influence of *Bifidobacterium longum* or *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (tab. 2). Interferon- α production by spleen cells did not change following *Lactobacillus casei*, *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*, *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium*, lacidofil or ridostin injection.

Our data prove that *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Bifidobacterium longum* preparations have appeared effective inducing agent of both “early” and “late” interferon, and also intensify the spontaneous and ridostin-induced interferon production by spleen cells. *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*, *Lactobacillus casei* and *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium* induced “late” interferon synthesis as well as standard interferon inducer – ridostin but did not influence on ability of splenocytes to synthesize interferon.

It is shown that following *Laktobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* introduction functional activity of peritoneal macrophages in particular their oxygen-dependending bactericide activity has increased that is confirmed by essential growth of NBT-positive cells number in spontaneous NBT-test. Under the influence of *Bifidobacterium longum*, *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium* or *Lactobacillus acidophilus* oxygen-dependending bactericide activity of macrophages increasing was seen on 1st and 6th days and on 12th day following *Bifidobacterium longum* introduction (tab. 3). *Lactobacillus casei* extend quantity of NBT-positive macrophages on 1st and 6th days and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* – on 1st day. However oxygen-dependending bactericide activity of macrophages did not change essentially after lacidofil or ridostin introduction. Under the influence of all studied preparations stimulated NBT-test indices became higher but their FR kept on the control level. *Laktobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* had stimulating influence on absorbing activity of macrophages. Following *Bifidobacterium longum* introduction PI was seen increased on 1st, 6th and 12th days up to $44,4 \pm 2,2$, $62,4 \pm 1,9$ and $60,5 \pm 2,5$ % accordingly in comparison with $31,5 \pm 2,6$ % ($P < 0,05$). It was emphasized the tendency of PI to increase on 1st and 6th days (accordingly $4,5 \pm 0,2$ and $4,3 \pm 0,2$ conventional U (CU) comparing with $3,1 \pm 0,2$ CU in control; $P > 0,05$), that index was found out to increase essentially on the 12th day – to $5,7 \pm 0,1$ CU ($P < 0,05$). Under the influence of *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium* the macrophages absorbing activity was stimulated too. PI increased on 1st, 6th and 12th days after its introduction according to $51,9 \pm 4,9$; $56,0 \pm 4,1$ and $50,0 \pm 3,1$ %. However it was established that the PI of macrophages had only tendency to increase: this index on 1st, 6th and 12th days became accordingly $4,5 \pm 0,9$; $4,0 \pm 0,8$ and $4,0 \pm 1,0$ CU. *Lactobacillus acidophilus* also stimulated the phagocytosis activity of macrophages. On 1st, 6th and 12th days following its introduction PI reached accordingly $69,6 \pm 2,0$; $56,3 \pm 1,8$ and $57,9 \pm 2,7$ %. PN on 3rd and 12th days remained at control level ($4,2 \pm 0,2$ and $3,6 \pm 0,2$ CU accordingly), and on 6th days increased up to $6,7 \pm 0,21$ CU ($P < 0,05$). Following the *Lactobacillus casei* introduction PN reached $45,7 \pm 1,4$; $50,1$



Table 2.
 Production of IFN- α and IFN- γ by spleen cells following the *Laktobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* introduction in response to adequate induction *in vitro*

Groups of mice observed/time of observation	Interferon production/ period of observation											
	interferon- γ titer, log ₂ unit/ml						interferon- α titer, log ₂ unit/ml					
	1st day	3rd day	6th day	12th day	1st day	3rd day	6th day	12th day	1st day	3rd day	6th day	12th day
Intact mice (control)	5,00 ± 0,01	6,00 ± 0,01	3,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	6,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	6,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,02
Obtaining of <i>B. bifidobacterium</i>	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	3,00 ± 0,02	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,02	4,00 ± 0,02	3,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,02	4,00 ± 0,02	3,00 ± 0,01
Obtaining of <i>B. longum</i>	5,00 ± 0,02	6,00 ± 0,02	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	6,00 ± 0,12	8,00 ± 0,01*	5,00 ± 0,20	4,00 ± 0,16	8,00 ± 0,23*	5,00 ± 0,09	4,00 ± 0,06	4,00 ± 0,16
Obtaining of <i>L. acidophilus</i>	5,00 ± 0,01	6,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,03	6,00 ± 0,16	7,00 ± 0,21	4,00 ± 0,09	4,00 ± 0,01	7,00 ± 0,21	4,00 ± 0,09	3,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,09
Obtaining of <i>L. casei</i>	4,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,05	5,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,08	7,00 ± 0,16	4,00 ± 0,13	4,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01
Obtaining of <i>L. bulgaricus</i>	4,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	6,00 ± 0,23	7,00 ± 0,16	4,00 ± 0,13	4,00 ± 0,01	7,00 ± 0,16	4,00 ± 0,13	4,00 ± 0,09	4,00 ± 0,09
Obtaining of <i>acidofil</i>	5,00 ± 0,01	6,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	5,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01
Obtaining of <i>ridostin</i>	4,00 ± 0,09	6,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,02	4,01 ± 0,03	6,00 ± 0,21	5,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	4,01 ± 0,03	5,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01	4,00 ± 0,01

Note: * $P < 0,05$ compared with control values.

Table 3.
Oxygen-dependent bactericide activity of macrophages following the *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* introduction

Groups of mice observed	Values of NBT-test / time of observation									
	Number of NBT- positive cells in spontaneous NBT-test, %			Number of NBT- positive cells in stimulated NBT-test, %			FR, %			
	1st day	6th day	12th day	1st day	6th day	12th day	1st day	6th day	12th day	
Intact (control)	42,0 ± 4,3				59,7 ± 1,5			9,9±0,3		
Obtaining of <i>B. bifidobacterium</i>	62,0 ± 3,4*	59,0 ± 5,1*	40,0 ± 3,2	71,4 ± 8,7	60,0 ± 2,1	56,0 ± 5,6	13,2 ± 2,1	10,0 ± 1,7	9,9 ± 3,1	
Obtaining of <i>B. longum</i>	70,5 ± 2,3*	60,1 ± 1,6*	57,9 ± 2,0*	75,2 ± 2,1	69,6±1,9	68,4 ± 1,4	10,7 ± 0,3	9,5 ± 0,4	10,5 ± 0,4	
Obtaining of <i>L. acidophilus</i>	60,3 ± 1,9*	59,3 ± 1,4*	47,6 ± 1,6	67,1 ± 2,2	68,4 ± 1,8	58,2 ± 1,8	6,7 ± 0,3	9,1 ± 0,2	10,6 ± 0,3	
Obtaining of <i>L. casei</i>	55,9 ± 2,6*	52,5 ± 2,9*	48,5 ± 1,4	61,7 ± 1,6	69,6 ± 2,4	57,7 ± 2,3	13,7 ± 0,3	8,5 ± 0,3	9,2 ± 0,3	
Obtaining of <i>L. bulgaricus</i>	59,8 ± 1,9*	49,6 ± 2,2	44,3 ± 2,7	68,0 ± 1,2	59,3 ± 2,5	55,0 ± 2,4	8,2 ± 0,5	9,7 ± 0,4	10,7 ± 0,3	
Obtaining of <i>lacydofil</i>	35,0 ± 8,7	42,0 ± 4,3	46,0 ± 3,9	39,0 ± 6,6	46,0 ± 5,6	55,0 ± 8,9	8,6 ± 1,1	9,0 ± 2,1	9,0 ± 1,1	
Obtaining of <i>ridostin</i>	46,2 ± 1,7	42,2 ± 2,3	39,3 ± 1,9	58,4 ± 2,5	52,6 ± 1,8	47,8 ± 2,4	12,1 ± 0,3	10,4±0,2	8,5 ± 0,31	

Note: *P < 0,05 compared with control values.



$\pm 1,3$ and $53,2 \pm 1,8$ % on 3rd, 6th and 12th days respectively. PN on 1st day kept on control level ($2,6 \pm 0,17$ CU), however on 6th and 12th days this index increased up to $5,8 \pm 0,2$ and $6,9 \pm 0,2$ CU respectively. Following the *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* introduction PI on 1st, 6th and 12th days increased to $44,4 \pm 1,6$; $46,4 \pm 2,1$ and $45,8 \pm 1,8$ % accordingly. PN on 3rd day ($4,4 \pm 0,2$ CU) kept on the control level, and on 6th and 12th days increased to $6,1 \pm 0,2$ and $7,3 \pm 0,1$ CU accordingly. Lacidofil also stimulated absorbing activity of peritoneal macrophages. It was observed PI increase of macrophages on 1st, 6th and 12th days to $47,8 \pm 4,3$; $53,0 \pm 2,9$ and $56,0 \pm 5,1$ % accordingly caused by lacidofil introduction. However PN kept on the control level (accordingly $3,4 \pm 0,2$; $4,0 \pm 1,0$ and $5,0 \pm 1,1$ CU). It is established that ridostin injection did not change phagocytosis activity of macrophages. On 1st, 6th and 12th days PI equaled to $34,6 \pm 1,3$; $36,7 \pm 2,0$ and $38,3 \pm 1,7$ % accordingly and PN was $2,7 \pm 0,1$; $3,9 \pm 0,3$ and $4,4 \pm 0,2$ CU accordingly. So submitting to the influence of *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium*, *Bifidobacterium longum*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus casei* and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* PI of macrophages increased during the whole term observed as well as phagocyte numbers on 6th day following the *Lactobacillus casei* or *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* introduction and on 12th day after the *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium*, *Bifidobacterium longum*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus casei* or *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* introduction.

Thus our data showed that *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium*, *Bifidobacterium longum*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus casei* and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* *in vivo* had stimulated the interferonogenesis and functional activity of phagocytosis system cells. However *Bifidobacterium longum* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus* have appeared to be the most effective. Under their influence both “early” and “late” interferon was produced and also spontaneous and ridostin induced production of interferon by spleen cells increased. *Bifidobacterium longum* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus* had stimulating influence on functional activity of phagocytosis system cells. Therefore it is possible to admit that *Bifidobacterium longum* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus* are the most perspective for development of new probiotic preparations for immune correction.

Conclusions

1. *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium*, *Bifidobacterium longum*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus casei* and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* have activated endogenous interferon production *in vivo* proved by essential increase of interferon concentration in blood plasma following their introduction to mice. *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Bifidobacterium longum* have appeared the most effective both “early” and “late” interferon inducing agents. These preparations also intensified spontaneous and ridostin-induced production of interferon by spleen cells. *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*, *Lactobacillus casei* and *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium* induced “late” interferon production and did not influence on the interferonogenesis activity of splenocytes.

2. Introduction of *Bifidobacterium longum*, *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus casei* or *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* to mice was accompanied by increasing of functional activity of phagocytosis system cells proved by stimulation of oxygen-dependending bactericide and absorbing activity of peritoneal macrophages.



3. Creation of new probiotic preparations for immunity correction on the basis of the compositions of *Laktobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* was studied as a perspective direction of the subsequent researches. As our data testify the composition on the basis of *Bifidobacterium longum* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus* is the most perspective for immune correction.

REFERENCE

1. Angel E. Wold Immune effects of probiotics // Scandinavian Journal of Nutrition/Naringsforskning. — 2001. — 45. — P. 76–85.
2. Blaise Corthesy, H. Rex Gaskins, Annick Mercenier Cross-Talk between probiotic bacteria and the host immune system // Journal of Nutrition. — 2007. — 137. — P. 781S–790S.
3. Hart A.L., Lammers K., Brigidi P., Vitali B., Rizzello F., Gionchetti P., Campieri M., Kamm M.A., Knight S.C., Stagg A.J. Modulation of human dendritic cell phenotype and function by probiotic bacteria // Gut. — 2004. — 53. — P. 1602–1609.
4. Horoshilova H.V. Immunomodulating and medical action of probiotics // Immunology. — 2003. — № 6. — P. 352 – 356.
5. Ljaskovsky T.M., Ribalko S.V., Pidgorsky V.S. Interferon induction by lactic acid bacteria in the experiments *in vitro* and *in vivo* // Microbiologichny Zhurnal. — 2005. — 67, № 2. — P. 81–87.
6. Ljaskovsky T.M., Ribalko S.V., Pidgorsky V.C., Kovalenko N.K., Oleshchenko L.T. Effect of probiotic lactic acid bacteria strains on virus infection // Microbiologichny Zhurnal. — 2007. — 69, № 2. — P. 55–63.
7. *Modern methods of diagnostics of virus respiratory infections and their therapy using the preparations of interferon (methodical recommendations)* / Under Edition Moszolevsky F.A., Djachenko N.S, Spivak N.J. — Kiev, 1994. — 18 p.
8. Perdigon G., Fuller R., Raya R. Lactic Acid Bacteria and their Effect on Immune System // Current Issues in Intestinal Microbiology. — 2001. — 2, № 1. — P. 27–42.
9. Sanders M.E. Considerations for use of probiotic bacteria to modulate human health // Journal of Nutrition. — 2000. — 130 (2S Suppl). — P. 384S–390S.
10. Zorin B, Nikolaev M., Bondarenko V.M. Modulation of cells of immune system laktobacteria // Microbiologichny Zhurnal. — 2004. — № 6. — P. 57–60.



**М.Я. Співак, В.С. Підгорський, Л.М. Лазаренко, Л.М. Шинкаренко,
Н.К. Коваленко, Л.Т. Рачкова, З.М. Олевінська**

Інститут мікробіології і вірусології імені Д.К. Заболотного НАН України,
вул. Академіка Заболотного, 154, Київ МСП, Д03680, Україна;
тел.: 8 (044) 526 94 25, e-mail: spivak@serv.imv.kiev.ua

ВПЛИВ ЛАКТО- ТА БІФІДОБАКТЕРІЙ НА ПОКАЗНИКИ ІМУНОРЕАКТИВНОСТІ ОРГАНІЗМУ НА ЕКСПЕРИМЕНТАЛЬНІЙ МОДЕЛІ

Реферат

Вивчено вплив лакто- та біфідобактерій на інтерферогенез та функціональну активність клітин фагоцитарної системи *in vivo*. Встановлено, що *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium*, *Bifidobacterium longum*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus casei* та *Lactobacillus bulgaricus in vivo* мали активуючий вплив на продукцію ендогенного інтерферону, що підтверджувалось суттєвим підвищенням концентрації інтерферону у плазмі крові мишей. *Lactobacillus acidophilus* та *Bifidobacterium longum* виявились найбільш ефективними індукторами як «раннього», так і «пізнього» інтерферону. Ці препарати також посилювали спонтанну та ридостин-індуковану продукцію інтерферону клітинами селезінки. Введення мишам штамів лакто- та біфідобактерій супроводжувалась активацією киснезалежної бактерицидності та поглинальної активності макрофагів черевної порожнини. Отримані дані свідчать, що найбільш перспективною для корекції імунітету є композиція на основі *Bifidobacterium longum* та *Lactobacillus acidophilus*.

К л ю ч о в і с л о в а: лактобактерії, біфідобактерії, пробіотики, інтерферон, імунітет.

**Н.Я. Спивак, В.С. Подгорский, Л.Н. Лазаренко, Л.Н. Шинкаренко,
Л.Т. Рачкова, З.М. Олевинская**

Институт микробиологии и вирусологии имени Д.К. Заболотного НАН Украины,
ул. Академика Заболотного, 154, Киев МСП, Д03680, Украина;
тел. 8 (044) 526 94 25, e-mail: spivak@serv.imv.kiev.ua

ВЛИЯНИЕ ЛАКТО- И БИФИДОБАКТЕРИЙ НА ПОКАЗАТЕЛИ ИМУНОРЕАКТИВНОСТИ ОРГАНИЗМА НА ЭКСПЕРИМЕНТАЛЬНОЙ МОДЕЛИ

Реферат

Изучено влияние лакто- и бифидобактерий на интерферогенез и функциональную активность клеток фагоцитарной системы *in vivo*. Установлено, что *Bifidobacterium Bifidobacterium*, *Bifidobacterium longum*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus casei* и *Lactobacillus bulgaricus in vivo* усиливали продукцию



эндогенного интерферона, что подтверждалось существенным повышением концентрации интерферона в плазме крови мышей. *Lactobacillus acidophilus* и *Bifidobacterium longum* оказались наиболее эффективными индукторами как «раннего», так и «позднего» интерферона. Эти препараты также усиливали спонтанную и ридостин-индуцированную продукцию интерферона клетками селезенки. Введение мышам штаммов лакто- и бифидобактерий сопровождалось активацией кислородзависимой бактерицидности и поглотительной активности макрофагов перитонеальной полости. Полученные данные свидетельствуют, что наиболее перспективной для коррекции иммунитета является композиция на основе *Bifidobacterium longum* и *Lactobacillus acidophilus*.

К л ю ч е в ы е с л о в а: лактобактерии, бифидобактерии, пробиотики, интерферон, иммунитет.

